NATASHA C., a minor, individually, : IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

and by her father, GERALD C., and : FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF

GERALD C., individually : PENNSYLVANIA

:

Plaintiffs,

•

v. : : No. 03-1903

VISIONQUEST, LTD., VISIONQUEST

NATIONAL, LTD., VISIONQUEST

LODGEMAKERS, INC., VISIONQUEST NONPROFIT

CORPORATION, and DARRELL

STEACH, an individual and in his official :

STEACH, an individual and in his official capacity as an employee of VisionQuest.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

an employee of visionQuest.

:

Defendants. :

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO NULLIFY SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT OR, IN THAT ALTERNATIVE, SEEKING ENFORCEMENT OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS

AND NOW, comes the plaintiffs, by and through their counsel, Kelly B. Stapleton, Esq., and Samuel H. Clark, Esq., to file this Motion and in support thereof aver as follows:

- 1. Jury trial in the instant matter was scheduled to begin on July 6, 2004.
- 2. On the morning of July 6, 2004, after appearing before the court on pretrial matters and immediately prior to the beginning of jury selection, the parties were able to reach a settlement.
 - 3. The terms of the settlement agreement were deemed confidential.
 - 4. The terms of the settlement were disclosed to the court.
- 5. Plaintiffs completely fulfilled all of their obligations under the settlement agreement on July 21, 2004.
- 6. The undersigned wrote to defendant's counsel on August 10, 2004, concerning defendant's failure to perform in accordance with the settlement agreement.

Case 2:03-cv-01903-RK Document 44 Filed 08/27/04 Page 2 of 2

7. Defendant's counsel never responded to the August 10, 2004, correspondence, until the

same was discussed when the undersigned telephoned defendant's counsel on or about August 13,

2004. Defendant's counsel stated performance should have occurred prior to that date.

8. The undersigned again wrote to defendant's counsel on August 18, 2004, requesting

defendant's performance in accordance with the settlement agreement.

9. The undersigned again followed up by telephone with defendant's counsel and was

advised defendant's performance was forthcoming.

10. To date defendants have failed and refused to abide by the settlement agreement and

fulfill their obligations therein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request this Honorable Court enter and Order deeming

the settlement agreement reached between plaintiffs and defendants null and void, or, in the

alternative, Order defendants to fulfill their settlement obligations and impose sanctions and

penalties as the court deems just and appropriate.

HOLSINGER, CLARK & ARMSTRONG, P.C.

BY:

/s/ Samuel H. Clark

Samuel H. Clark, Esq.

Attorney for the Plaintiffs